

# Q( $\alpha$ ) Function and Squeezing Effect

911-17  
2007

Xia Yunjie Kong Xianghe Yan Kezhu Chen Wanping

Department of Physics, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, Shandong, China, 273165

## Abstract

The relation of squeezing and Q( $\alpha$ ) function is discussed in this paper. By means of Q function, the squeezing of field with gaussian Q( $\alpha$ ) function or negative P( $\alpha$ )function is also discussed in detail.

## 1 Introduction

In quantum optics, P( $\alpha$ ), Q( $\alpha$ ) and W( $\alpha$ ) are common quasiprobability distribution function [1], but only Q( $\alpha$ ) preserve good function (positive and nonregular). Recently, by means of Fokker-Plank equation for Q function, M. S. Kim et. al discussed the fourth-order squeezing [2]. In this paper, we consider the relation between Q function and squeezing, and study the squeezing of field with gaussian Q function or negative P( $\alpha$ )function.

for any field density operator  $\rho$ , the Q function is defined as

$$Q(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} \langle \alpha | \rho | \alpha \rangle \quad (1)$$

it satisfies the normalization condition

$$\int d\alpha^2 Q(\alpha) = 1 \quad (2)$$

For antinormally ordered operator  $f(a, a^+) = f^{(a)}(a, a^+)$ , one can get following equation

$$\langle f(a, a^+) \rangle = \int d^2\alpha Q(\alpha) f^{(a)}(\alpha, \alpha^*) = 1 \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^+$  are annihilation and creation operators respectively. Defining parameter

$$S = \langle : (a + a^+)^2 : \rangle - \langle a + a^+ \rangle^2 \quad (4)$$

For squeezing, S should be negative

Now, we suppose that Q function can be expanded as following form

$$Q(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-\beta|\alpha|^2} \sum C_{m,n} \alpha^m \alpha^{*n}, (C_{m,n} = C_{n,m}^*) \quad (5)$$

Using mathematical identity [3]

$$\int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} e^{-\beta|\alpha|^2 + \rho\alpha + \tau\alpha^*} = \frac{1}{\beta} e^{\rho\tau/\beta}, (\beta > 0) \quad (6)$$

one can have

$$\int \frac{d^2\alpha}{\pi} \alpha^m \alpha^{*n} e^{-\beta|\alpha|^2} = \frac{n! \delta_{mn}}{\beta^{m+1}} \quad (7)$$

and the normalization condition is

$$\sum_m C_{m,m} m! / \beta^{m+1} = 1 \quad (8)$$

By means of equations(3)and (7), we have

$$\langle a + a^+ \rangle = \sum_m \frac{2(m+1)! \text{Re}C_{m,m+1}}{\beta^{m+2}} \quad (9)$$

$$\langle a^2 + a^{+2} \rangle = \sum_m \frac{2(m+2)! \text{Re}C_{m,m+2}}{\beta^{m+3}} \quad (10)$$

$$\langle a^+ a \rangle = \sum_m \frac{(m+1) - \beta}{\beta^{m+2}} m! C_{m,m} \quad (11)$$

and

$$S = \sum_m \frac{2(m+2)! \text{Re}C_{m,m+2}}{\beta^{m+3}} + 2 \sum_m \frac{m+1-\beta}{\beta^{m+2}} m! C_{m,m} - \left[ \sum_m \frac{2(m+1)! \text{Re}C_{m,m+1}}{\beta^{m+2}} \right]^2 \quad (12)$$

If the field exists squeezing, then

$$\sum_m \left[ \frac{(m+2)! \text{Re}C_{m,m+2}}{\beta^{m+3}} + \frac{(m+1-\beta)m! C_{m,m}}{\beta^{m+2}} \right] < \left[ \sum_m \frac{2(m+1)! \text{Re}C_{m,m+1}}{\beta^{m+2}} \right]^2 \quad (13)$$

## 2 Squddzing of field with gaussian Q function

We introduce the gaussian Q function as

$$Q(\alpha) = \sqrt{t^2 - 4|A|^2} \exp[-t(\alpha^* - \omega^*)(\alpha - \omega) + A^*(\alpha^* - \omega^*)^2 + A(\alpha - \omega)^2] \quad (14)$$

where  $t > 2|A|$ . Using integration formula[3]

$$\int \frac{d^2z}{\pi} e^{-\mu|z|^2 + fz^2 + gz^{*2} + \tau z + \sigma z^*} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 4fg}} e^{\frac{\mu\sigma + \tau^2 g + \sigma^2 f}{\mu^2 - 4fg}} \quad (15)$$

and equation (3), one can show

$$\langle \alpha + \alpha^+ \rangle = \omega + \omega^* \quad (16)$$

$$\langle \alpha^2 + \alpha^{+2} \rangle = \omega^{*2} + \omega^2 + \frac{2(A + A^*)}{t^2 - 4|A|^2} \quad (17)$$

$$\langle \alpha^+ \alpha \rangle = |\omega|^2 + \frac{t}{t^2 - 4|A|^2} - 1 \quad (18)$$

and easily obtain

$$S = \frac{2(A + A^* + 4|A|^2 + t - t^2)}{t^2 - 4|A|^2} \quad (19)$$

Thus the condition for the existence of squeezing is

$$A + A^* + 4|A|^2 < t^2 - t \quad (20)$$

If  $A=0$ , squeezing means  $t > 1$ , if  $t < 1$  and  $A=0$ , no squeezing exists in the field. It is worth to point out that the field with  $A=0$  and  $t > 1$  has not been found uptill now.

### 3 Squeezing of field with negative $P(\alpha)$ function

The relation of  $P(\alpha)$  and  $Q(\alpha)$  is

$$Q(\alpha) = \int \frac{d^2\beta}{\pi} e^{-|\beta-\alpha|^2} P(\beta) \quad (21)$$

for nonclassical field, its  $P(\alpha)$  function has two situations [4]: i)  $P(\alpha)$  is negative, ii)  $P(\alpha)$  is more singular than  $\delta$ -function. We consider the nonclassical field with negative  $P(\alpha)$  function[5]

$$\rho = \int d^2\alpha P(\alpha) |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| \quad (22)$$

Suppose  $P(\alpha)$  as

$$P(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-t|\alpha|^2} \sum_{i,j} P_{i,j} \alpha^i \alpha^{*j} \quad (23)$$

Using equations (6) and (21), we obtain

$$Q(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i,j} P_{i,j} e^{-\frac{t}{1+t}|\alpha|^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\min(i,j)} \frac{i!j!\alpha^{i-l}\alpha^{*j-l}}{l!(i-l)!(j-l)!(l+t)^{i+j-l+1}} \quad (24)$$

comparing with equation(5), one can have

$$\beta = \frac{t}{1+t} \quad (25)$$

$$C_{m,n} = \sum_l P_{m+l,n+l} \frac{(m+l)!(n+l)!}{l!m!n!(1+t)^{m+n+l+1}} \quad (26)$$

Obviously, the field with negative P function can exhibit squeezing for some situation, but, if  $P(\alpha)$  is only the function of  $|\alpha|$ , i. e,  $P(\alpha)$  is sphere symmetry in phase space, then

$$P_{i,j} = 0 \quad (i \neq j) \quad (27)$$

$$C_{m,n} = 0 \quad (m \neq n) \quad (28)$$

Form equation (12), one can get

$$S > 0 \quad (29)$$

In conclusion, it is clearly that no squeezing exists in the field with negative P( $\alpha$ ) function which is sphere symmetry in phase space.

## References

- [1] C. W. Gardiner, Handbook of stochastic methods (springer, Berlin, 1983);  
W. H. Louisell, Quantum statistical properties of radiation (Wiley, New York, 1973)
- [2] M. S. Kim, V. Buzek, Min Gyu Kim, Phys. Lett. A186, 283, (1994)
- [3] Fan Hong—yi and Ruan Tun—nan, Sci. Sim. A27, 392(1984);  
Fan Hong—yi, H. R. Zaidi and J. R. Klauder, Phys. Rev. D35, 183(1987)
- [4] M. Hilery, Phys. Lett. A111, 409(1985);  
Yao Demin and Guo Guangcan, Acta. Sin. 42, 463(1988)
- [5] G. S. Agarwal and K. Tara, Phys. Rev. A46, 485(1992)